1-15

CASE NO. 2888.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH, IN AND FOR UTAH COUNTY.

rovo Reservoir Company,

A Corporation

Plaintiff

Vs.

Provo City, Lincoln School mistrict,
The Provo Bench Canal and Irrigation
Company, The West Union Canal Company,
and Mohn H. Carter, R.G. Carter,
Amos Carter, David Carter, R.D. Young,
Layfayett Carter, Vernius Carter, many E.
Downs, J.M. Downs, and Eliza Ashton,
administratrix for the estate of Aaron
Carter, deceased, et al. Defendants.

Ausner of John A. Carter et al

Now some John H. Carter, R.G. Carter, Amos Carter, David Carter, R.D. Young, Layfayett Carter, Vernius Carter, Mary E. Downs, J.M. Downs, and Eliza Ashton, administratrix for the estate of Aaron Carter, deceased, the defendants in the above entitled action and make their answer to the said plaintiff's complaint for themselves alone, and not for any one or more of the other definedants, admit deny, and allege as follows, to-wit;

1

Admit the allegations in paragraphs from 1 to 29 inclusive.

11

As to the allegations in paragraphs from 29 (A) to 29 (C) inclusive, these defendants have not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief and therefore deny it.

111

Admit paragraph 29 (D).

ıv

As to the statements contained in paragraph 29 (E) to paragraph 33 inclusive, these defendants have not sufficient knowledge to form a belief and therefore deny it.

v

The defendants admit paragraphs 34 and 35.

31.12

As to paragraph 36, these defendants allege, that they have not sufficient knowledge or information to form a helievi except as here inafter set forth and therefore deny it.

## vii

As to allegations in paragraph 37, defendants allege, that they have not sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief except as hereinafter set forth and therefore deny it.

## Vlll

As to allegations in paragraphs 38 and 39, defendents allege, that they have not sufficient knowledge or information on which to form a belief except as hereinafter set forth and therefore deny bt.

## 1X

X

That by mutual agreement and stipulation by and between the said plaintiff and these defendants, these defendants are accorded the rights awarded to them by said decree, subject, however, only to the terms of a decree of this Court made and entered on the 26th day of Janurany, 1907, and commonly known as the "Chidester Decree" wherein the said Decree changes in any manner the rights of these defendants as given to them by the said "Morse Decree" and which "Chidester Decree" is hereby referred to in as far as it affects the rights of this plaintiff and these defendants, it is hereby made a part of this answer.

WHEREFORE, said defendents pray judgment that they may be granted and have the rights to the use of the water awarded to them by said

That when the water of said provo River at and near the mouth of Provo Canyon does not exceed 12000 cubic feet per minute that these defendents be awarded their portion of the said water aw arded to them. And that the defendents recover costs.

State of mtah SS County of mtah

being first duly sworn on his oath says, that he is one of the defendants named in the foregoing answer, that he has read the foregoing answer and knows the contents thereof and that the same is true of his own knowledge except as to those matters stated on information and belief and as to those matters he believes it to be

barribed and sworn to before me this // day of

ay of may, 1914

Notary P.blic.

Ministron expires April 25th, 1914.

الإسلاء الره